



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 7963	
09/356,543	07/19)/1999	MATTHEW D. BARNHART	VMS98-01PM		
21005	7590	05/07/2002				
HAMILTO	N, BROOK,	SMITH & R	EXAMINER			
530 VIRGIN P.O. BOX 9	133		RIMELL, SAMUEL G			
CONCORD, MA 01742-9133				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3626		
				DATE MAILED: 05/07/2002	DATE MAILED: 05/07/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		BARNHART ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	09/356,543	Art Unit					
, comes near camman,	Examiner						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Sam Rimell ears on the cover sheet with the cover	2186 3626 correspondence address					
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-12,14-19,21 and 22</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5, 13, 20</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		* *					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	0 1					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		1					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application has been rec	eived.					
Attachment(s)	- 00	RIMLYXXIMIL					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/356,543

Art Unit: 2166

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 6-12, 14-19 and 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dorne ('293) in view of Ballantyne et al. ('821).

Dorne discloses a computer having a memory to store a software program (FIGS 2A-8B) and a physician interface (108, 116). The memory can store patient demographic information (FIG. 2B), and patient diagnosis information (FIG. 3B).

The patient diagnosis information can be sorted into different categories (left side of FIG. 3B).

The patient records can be sorted by the location of the patient's name in the memory.

FIG. 2C illustrates a sorting process where the patient's name is sorted from the memory.

The software of Dorne provides guideline notifications associated with different billing codes (FIG. 3G).

All of the billing code and diagnosis codes illustrated by Dorne are specific to physicians specialized in cardiology (FIGS 3A-3G).

The system of Dorne further provides menus for medical procedures (lower right corner of FIG. 3G) and management (lower left corner of FIG. 3G).

Dorne differs from the claims in that it does not disclose a handheld processor that includes an interface to communicate with an interface device to download data.

Application/Control Number: 09/356,543 Page 3

Art Unit: 2166

31).

However, FIG. 1 of Ballantyne et al. discloses a personal digital assistant (PDA) that comprises a physician interface (writing surface, col. 14 line 25) and an additional interface to permit communication of data to a nursing station computer via wireless link (col. 12, lines 35-37). The Ballantyne et al. device is specifically tailored to enter patient information into a patient health record (col. 13, lines 29-37) and down load the data to a nursing station (col. 14, lines 30-

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the software program Dorne to be applied to the PDA of Ballantyne et al. so as to permit the physician to enter data while performing rounds in a hospital and thus improve healthcare quality (col. 2, lines 55-62 of Ballantyne et al.).

In addition, the usage of a bar code scanner to enter data into a PDA would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art as a choice of design. Examiner takes Official Notice that Bar code scanners are well known in the art as a standard data input device to computers.

Claims 5, 13 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

<u>Remarks</u>

Applicant's amendments have addressed and overcome the rejections under 35 USC 112.

With respect to the rejection of claims 1-4, 6-12, 14-19 and 21-22 under 35 USC 103, as being obvious in view of Dorne and Ballantyne et al., applicant's arguments have been considered but are not well taken.

Application/Control Number: 09/356,543 Page 4

Art Unit: 2166

Applicant argues that Dorne does not disclose a handheld device and that Ballantyne et al. does not disclose the handling of billing information. Examiner notes that under 35 USC 103, there is no requirement that each individual reference disclose every single feature of a rejected claim. What is required is that the combination of the references together disclose the claimed features, and that motivation exists to combine the references. In the case of claims 1-4, 6-12, 14-19 and 21-22 examiner has relied on the Ballantyne et al. reference to provide a teaching that can be combined with the teachings of Dorne. The teaching of Ballantyne et al. is that a PDA can be used for data entry, and that such a PDA has advantageous use in a medical environment where a physician can enter data while performing rounds. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to modify Dorne in light of the teachings on Ballantyne et al. with this combination being motivated by the recited advantages. Unless applicant can show that the reasons for obviousness are incorrect, the rejection under 35 USC 103 will stand.

Applicant also argues that Dorne does not disclose an interface for informing physicians of "changes in guidelines". In FIG. 3G of Dorne, the step of selecting one of the codes from the code box (right hand side) opens a dialog box (160) which displays a guideline associated with a specific medical code. The guidelines are changed simply by selecting a different code, which changes the displayed guidelines in the dialog box. Accordingly, this meets the broad claim recitation in claims 1 and 9 calling for an interface which displays "changes in guidelines". It is observed that this feature does not appear in claim 16.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/356,543

Art Unit: 2166

date of this final action.

,543 Page 5

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Sam Rimell at telephone number (703) 306-5626.

Sam Rimell Primary Examiner Art Unit 2166 3626